GEOMETRIC TIME IN QUANTUM COSMOLOGY

TOMASZ PAWŁOWSKI

Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 74, 00-682 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: tpawlow@fuw.edu.pl

arXiv:1403.3879v1 [gr-qc] 16 Mar 2014

Various choices of the geometry degrees of freedom as the emergent time are tested on the model of an isotropic universe with a scalar field of ϕ^2 potential. Potential problems with each choices as well as possible applications in loop quantization are discussed.

Keywords: quantum cosmology, canonical formalism, time

Introduction: One of the main difficulties in quantum gravity/cosmology is the time reparametrization invariance, which implies lack of an unambiguous time variable. In consequence, providing a precise and physically meaningful notion of the system evolution –a task particularly crucial in Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC)– is nontrivial. Usually it is achieved by either deparametrization or the partial observable formalism, however in order to be practical both techniques require selection of a suitable function of the system's degrees of freedom as an internal clock. So far the matter degrees of freedom have been chosen for that purpose.[1] This however has made the description dependent on the presence of the particular matter content, restricting its applicability. Providing a universal treatment requires using the geometry degrees of freedom as a clock.

In the case of isotropic cosmological models there are two obvious choices: volume and its canonical momentum (proportional to Hubble parameter), although in LQC the application of the former is impared by the bounce phenomenon. Here we test the latter choice on the model of a toroidal (T^3) FRW universe with massive scalar field (the inflaton ϕ^2 potential) quantized within framework of geometrodynamics (Wheeler-DeWitt). To define the time evolution we use the deparametrization technique, which poses its own challenge as it leads to the (not yet completely understood) 2nd order quantum mechanical formalism with explicit time dependence. We explore one possible way of defining the suitable formalism using the mapping between the "frozen time" spaces. The treatment is compared against the textbook one, where the scale factor (or volume) plays the role of time. We focus on the properties of the ground state needed to tackle the vacuum energy problem – an aspect especially relevant in more realistic (inhomogeneous) cosmological models.

The model: The isotropic T^3 FRW universe is described by the metric $g = -N^2\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t)(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \mathrm{d}\phi^2 + \mathrm{d}\chi^2)$ where $\theta, \phi, \chi \in [0, 1)$, N is the lapse and a is the scale factor. Starting from Einstein-Hilbert action for gravity minimally coupled to a massive scalar field of mass m (with ϕ^2 potential) and implementing the canonical formalism we arrive to a phase space, which we choose to coordinatize by two pairs $(v, b), (\phi, p_\phi)$, where $v = \alpha^{-1}a^3$, ($\alpha \approx 1.35\ell_{\mathrm{Pl}}^3$), $\{v, b\} = 2$, ϕ is the

scalar field and p_ϕ its momentum: $\{\phi, p_\phi\} = 1$. The dynamics is generated by a Hamiltonian constraint

$$H(v, b, \phi, p_\phi) \propto -3\pi G v^2 b^2 + p_\phi^2 + \alpha^2 m^2 v^2 \phi^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

Quantization: To build the quantum description we follow the methods of geometrodynamics, using the elements of a Dirac program. The variables (v, b, ϕ, p_ϕ) are promoted to operators on the kinematical Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}v) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}\phi)$. The quantum counterpart of the Hamiltonian constraint takes the form (with $v = \exp(t)$, $\hat{v} = e^t \mathbb{I}$ and $\hat{v}\hat{b} = i\partial_t$)

$$-\partial_t^2 \Psi(t, \phi) := (\hat{v}\hat{b}/2)^2 = \hat{\Theta}_t \Psi(t, \phi) := (12\pi G)^{-1} [\hat{p}_\phi^2 + \alpha^2 m^2 e^{2t} \hat{\phi}^2] \Psi(t, \phi) \quad (2)$$

and the physical Hilbert space is composed of the states anihilated by it.

Volume deparametrization: The Klain-Gordon like form of the constraint allows to solve it by the deparametrization (on the quantum level) with respect to t. The evolution becomes then the mapping between the constant t slices of the physical state. However, unlike in[1] the evolution operator Θ_t generating this mapping is now time dependent. To account for this dependence we employ the method devised for matter clocks:[2] we introduce the "frozen" time spaces: at each moment of time the operator Θ_t is treated as time independent. Its spectral decomposition defines then the basis $\{e_{t,n}\}$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_t$ of the initial data at time t. The physical state is then expressed via positive/negative frequency spectral profiles $\tilde{\Psi}^{\pm}(t)$

$$\Psi(t, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\tilde{\Psi}_n^+(t) e_{t,n}(\phi) e^{i\omega_n(t)t} + \tilde{\Psi}_n^-(t) \bar{e}_{t,n}(\phi) e^{-i\omega_n(t)t} \right], \quad (3)$$

further subject to (2). The constraint itself translates into the set of countable number of coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs) for $\tilde{\Psi}_n^{\pm}(t)$. The examination of Θ_t reveals the textbook result: the spaces $\mathcal{H}_t$ correspond to a harmonic oscillator. The bases $e_{t,n}$ and their (time dependent) frequencies $\omega_n(t)$ are

$$e_{t,n}(\phi) = N_{t,n} e^{-\frac{\alpha m v}{2}\phi^2} H_n(\sqrt{\alpha m v}\phi), \quad \omega_n(t) = (12\pi G)^{-1/2} \sqrt{\alpha m v (2n+1)}, \quad (4)$$

where H_n is the nth Hermite polynomial and $N_{t,n}$ are the normalization factors.

Each space $\mathcal{H}_t$ is unitary equivalent to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}\phi)$ thus the physical inner product can be defined via selecting a time t_o and setting $\langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle = \int \bar{\Psi}(t_o, \phi) \Phi(t_o, \phi) \mathrm{d}\phi$. This inner product can be expressed as a product on $\mathcal{H}_t$ via $\langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n(t) \left[\bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_n^+(t) \tilde{\Phi}_n^+(t) + \bar{\tilde{\Psi}}_n^-(t) \tilde{\Phi}_n^-(t) \right]$ where the measure $\sigma_n(t)$ is fixed by the initial condition $\sigma_n(t_o) = 1$ and the unitarity of the evolution. This in turn allows to easily construct the Dirac observables out of the kinematical ones.

Our main point of focus is the effect of the choice of the evolution parameter on the properties of the ground state. Since here the operator Θ_t is free from factor ordering ambiguities, this ground state is uniquely defined. To probe its gravitational effect we evaluate its energy density at given moment of time. It is

$$\rho_o(t) = \langle \Psi(t, \cdot) | \hat{\rho} | \Psi(t, \cdot) \rangle = m[2V(t)]^{-1} > 0, \quad V(t) = a^3(t) \quad (5)$$

thus its value is isolated from zero and scales as a^{-3}. Therefore the ground state of a single inflaton field exerts the gravitational effect of the dust. For the models with infinite number of massive field modes this remnant is renormalized out via Fock space construction.[3] However, it is believed that in LQC the volume parametrization would allow for finite number of modes only, rendering the vacuum energy non-removable and thus affecting (possibly significantly) the dynamics.

Momentum deparametrization: The construction of the description using b as the internal time is very similar to the one above, although now in order to avoid problems related with operator ordering we perform the deparametrization at the classical level, rewriting the Hamiltonian constraint (1) as the equation

$$v^2 = p_\phi^2[3\pi G b^2 - \alpha^2 m^2 \phi^2]^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

The subsequent Schrödinger quantization of the scalar field leads to the time dependent equation of Klain-Gordon type

$$\partial_b^2 \Psi(b,\phi) = -\Theta_b \Psi(b,\phi), \quad \mathrm{Dom}(\Theta_b) \subset \mathcal{H}_b \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}\phi), \tag{7}$$

with $\mathcal{H}_b$ being the Hilbert space of the initial data at time b. The operator Θ_b is (by inspection) essentially self-adjoint and the positive part of its spectrum is discrete. Therefore we can define the evolution as in v-time case, introducing the analog of the decomposition (3) and rewriting (7) as set of ODE's for spectral profiles. The construction of the physical inner product and the observables is also the same.

Although Θ_b is quite complicated, in frozen time formalism there exist the coordinate $x(b,\phi)$ such that it takes the form $\Theta_b = \frac{\alpha m}{12\pi G b^2}\partial_x \mathrm{sgn}(|x| - \pi/4)\partial_x$, thus the basis elements $e_{b,n} \in \mathrm{sgn}(|x| - \pi/4)C^1(x)$. Given that, one can again calculate the gravitational effect of the ground state. Here it behaves like a massless scalar. Unlike in the v-time case however, the factor ordering freedom gives hope to bring the ground state energy to zero.

Application to LQC: As in its present form the b-time construction involves classical deparametrization, it is difficult to implement it directly in loop quantization. We remember however that the Hamiltonian constraint has to be regularized before quantization. We thus can implement a quasi-heuristic approach, introducing a deparametrization after the regularization but still on the classical level. Then all the construction performed for geometrodynamics can be directly repeated to completion. The only difference is the exact form to the time dependence of Θ_b as b in (6) is now replaced with $\sin(b)$.

References

1. A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, *Phys.Rev.* **D74**, p. 084003 (2006).
2. A. Ashtekar, T. Pawłowski and P. Singh, Inflaton field in LQC, (in preparation).
3. M. Martin-Benito, L. J. Garay and G. A. Mena Marugan, *Phys.Rev.* **D78**, p. 083516 (2008).